## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of North Carolina

DWIGHTLAMONT HIDNER	Case No: 3:94CR00111-012
Date of Previous Judgment: 3/4/1996 )	USM No: 11282-058 David Burgess
(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)	Defendant's Attorney
Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)	
Upon motion of $\blacksquare$ the defendant $\square$ the Director of § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposubsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United § 994(u), and having considered such motion,	sed based on a guideline sentencing range that has
IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:  ■ DENIED. □ GRANTED and the defendant's protection the last judgment issued) of	eviously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in months is reduced to
Previous Offense Level: 43 Criminal History Category: III Previous Guideline Range: Life to Life months	C (Prior to Any Departures)  Amended Offense Level:  Criminal History Category:  Amended Guideline Range:  Life to Life months
<ul> <li>II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE</li> <li>□ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.</li> <li>□ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.</li> <li>■ Other (explain): Amendment 706 provides no reduction for cocaine base amounts of 4.5 kilograms or more and this case involves over 100 kilograms of cocaine base.</li> </ul>	
III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS Pursuant to a Rule 35 reduction, the defendant's sentence was reduced to 240 months on 2/4/2005.	
Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated IT IS SO ORDERED.	shall remain in effect.
Order Date: April 8, 2009	Graham C. Mullen
Effective Date:	Graham C. Mullen United States District Judge